



Office of the Provost

Department of Early, Elementary & Reading Education

Evaluation and Procedures

Approved: 2024

Office of the Provost
James Madison University

MSC 7607
Alumnae Hall, Room 102
91 Alumnae Drive
Harrisonburg, VA 22807
540.568.3429

Department of Early, Elementary, & Reading
Education Evaluation Guidelines
Submitted Spring 2024

College of Education
Department of Early, Elementary, and Reading Education
Guidelines for Faculty Evaluation

Spring 2024 Personnel Advisory Committee

All statements and policies included in these guidelines reflect the policies of the *JMU Faculty Handbook*. The *Faculty Handbook* is designed to outline the duties, rights and responsibilities of faculty members and be a guide for the relationship between the faculty members and the university. It does not contain all the university's policies and procedures and should not be considered to be a part of the university's contractual agreement with the individual faculty members.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I. Introduction to evaluation process in the Department of Early, Elementary, and Reading Education
 - A. Academic ranks
 - B. Criteria for Performance
 1. Teaching and advising
 2. Scholarly Achievement and Professional Qualifications
 3. Professional Service
 4. Reassigned time
 - C. Tenure and Promotion
 1. Tenure Track Faculty
 2. Lecturer Track Faculty
 - D. Professional Benchmarks Toward Tenure and Promotion

- II. Preparing the First Year, Annual, Midpoint, Tenure and Promotion Materials
 - A. First Year Faculty Mid-Year Evaluation
 - B. Annual Evaluation
 - C. Midpoint Evaluation
 - D. Tenure and Promotion
 - E. Compelling Case for Early Tenure and/or Promotion Tenure Track
 - F. Compelling Case for Early Promotion for Lecturer Faculty

- III. Evaluation Process
 - A. Composition of EERE PAC
 - B. Composition of EERE PAC for Lecturers
 - C. Roles and Responsibilities of EERE PAC
 - D. Faculty/Staff Evaluation Tasks and Timelines
 - E. Response to Evaluation Process
 - F. Merit Pay

Appendices

- A. First Year Faculty Mid-Year Activity Report
- B. EERE Faculty Activity Report
- C. EERE Faculty Evaluation Rubric
- D. EERE Faculty Activity Report and Rubric for Instructors and Lecturers

I. Introduction to evaluation process in the Department of Early, Elementary, and Reading Education

According to the *JMU Faculty Handbook* as approved by the Board of Visitors, the purpose of evaluation of faculty members at James Madison University is to promote professionalism, to encourage performance at the highest levels and to indicate areas in which improvement is needed. Evaluations are also used in making personnel decisions, including allocation of merit pay increases, continuation of employment and initiation of post tenure review.

The *JMU Faculty Handbook*, [Section III.E.](#), states that “All full-time instructional faculty at JMU are subject to annual evaluation of their performance.” Furthermore, Section III.E.2.b states that “The areas of performance that shall be considered in all performance evaluations are as follows: teaching, scholarly achievement and professional qualifications, and professional service. Additionally, any aspects of a faculty member’s conduct that impacts performance, positive or negative, should be addressed in the evaluation of these performance areas.” Four types of evaluation occur within the Department of Reading, Early, and Elementary Education and include:

First Year Faculty Mid-Year Activity Report: The initial evaluation shall be conducted at the beginning of a new faculty member’s second full semester at James Madison University. The initial evaluation becomes a matter of college record and is filed in the dean’s office. The initial review is conducted by the Academic Unit Head.

Annual Evaluations: Annual evaluations of all faculty members shall be conducted after the conclusion of each academic year, guided by the Faculty Activity Report (FAR). According to Section III.E.4.i, annual evaluations are a matter of college record and the faculty member and the AUH shall sign the final evaluation and the AUH will send a copy of it to the Dean by October 28th. Annual reviews are conducted by Personnel Advisory Committee (PAC) and the Academic Unit Head (AUH) independently. PAC evaluations are supplemental and are meant to provide additional support and advice to faculty members from their peers.

Midpoint Evaluation: The Midpoint Evaluation (also commonly referred to as the three year review) includes a dossier with all the materials described in Section D along with the first three annual review PAC and AUH letters. The midpoint evaluation provides faculty with an overview of their progress toward tenure and promotion. Three year reviews are conducted independently by PAC and the AUH.

Comprehensive Evaluations: Comprehensive evaluations are concerned with promotion and tenure decisions and are conducted in addition to the annual evaluation in the appropriate year. They become a matter of the college’s record and are filed in the office of the dean. Tenure and promotion are not necessarily tied together at James Madison University so a faculty member may choose to apply for tenure without promotion, but must apply for tenure in the penultimate year of the probationary period. According to the *JMU Faculty Handbook*, “The promotion of an instructional faculty member shall be determined by merit regardless of the distribution of faculty by academic rank within the academic unit” ([Section III.E.6](#)). Tenure is intended to protect academic freedom, provide a reasonable measure of employment security and enable the university to retain a permanent instructional faculty of distinction” ([Section III.E.7a](#)).

The faculty handbook outlines typical requirements for comprehensive evaluation review (III.E.6-III.E.7). The comprehensive evaluation for tenure and/or promotion is conducted by PAC and the AUH. The Department of Early, Elementary, and Reading Education is committed to a fair and equitable evaluation process that ensures that all faculty members understand and are involved in the evaluation process.

A. Academic Ranks

When you join the EERE department, you are appointed to an academic rank and a type of track. The faculty of James Madison University recognizes five distinct academic ranks that include: Instructor, Lecturer, Senior Lecturer, Principal Lecturer, Assistant Professor, Associate Professor, and Professor. The *JMU Faculty Handbook*, [Section III.B.4](#), defines academic faculty ranks as:

III.B.4.a Instructor

Appointment at the rank of instructor is normally for a fixed term but may be employment at the will of the university with no fixed term. Appointment at the rank of instructor may also be used for a faculty member who is hired with the expectation of completion of a terminal degree by a specified date. Promotion to the rank of assistant professor may be made automatic on completion of the terminal degree in the terms of the appointment and satisfactory evaluations, subject to approval of the JMU Board of Visitors. Instructors are required to participate in the annual review process and should complete the EERE Faculty Activity Report and Rubric for Instructors and Lecturers according to the guidelines in Appendix D.

III.B.4.b Lecturer

Appointment at the rank of lecturer can be made in the case of Renewable-Term Appointments (RTA). Individuals in the rank of lecturer are not eligible for tenure. Lecturers are required to participate in the annual review process and should complete the EERE Faculty Activity Report and Rubric for Instructors and Lecturers according to the guidelines in Appendix D.

Lecturer:

The rank of lecturer is used for individuals within the academic unit whose primary responsibility is teaching. Lecturers are expected to be effective teachers, participate in professional service activities, and be engaged in activities that support professional development. Lecturers may perform other tasks as required by the department including, but not limited to: student advising, revising courses and curricula, serving on program and department committees, and other administrative duties.

Senior Lecturer:

In addition to the requirements of Lecturer, the rank of Senior Lecturer is expected to demonstrate a sustained record of mastery teaching and service performance, and provide evidence of continued professional development in their field of study. Senior Lecturers are expected to have an established ongoing record of high-quality department, college, university, regional, national, and/or international level service.

Principal Lecturer:

In addition to the requirements of Senior Lecturer, the rank of Principal Lecturer is expected to demonstrate a sustained record of exemplary teaching and service performance, evidence of recognition (e.g., awards or award nominations, student recommendations) in the areas of teaching and/or professional service, and evidence of continued professional development in their field of study. In addition, a Principal Lecturer may be expected to have a considerable role in mentoring colleagues and graduate teaching assistants, leading course development or curricula changes, serving on committees, and guiding special instructional initiatives.

III.B.4.c Assistant Professor

Appointment at the rank of assistant professor normally carries with it teaching, scholarship and service responsibilities and a graduate degree, normally a terminal degree in a relevant discipline.

III.B.4.d Associate Professor

In addition to the requirements for assistant professor, appointment at the rank of associate professor is contingent upon substantial professional achievements, evidenced by an appropriate combination of teaching, scholarship, and service.

III.B.4.e Professor

In addition to the requirements for associate professor, appointment at the rank of professor is contingent upon recognition of outstanding professional accomplishment.

B. Criteria for Tenure Track Faculty Performance

Faculty are evaluated in three areas – teaching effectiveness, scholarly achievement and professional qualifications, and professional service achievement as described in the following sections. Additionally, any aspects of a faculty member's conduct that impacts performance, positively or negatively, should be addressed in the evaluation of these performance areas. This is explained in [Section III.E.1.a, Section III E.2.b, and Section III E.4](#) of the *JMU Faculty Handbook*.

At all times, faculty are held accountable to the university in accordance with state and federal laws and with policies and procedures established by the JMU Board of Visitors. These rights and responsibilities are outlined in the *JMU Faculty Handbook*, Section III. A.

1. Teaching and Advising

JMU faculty acknowledge excellent teaching as an important aspect of university faculty members. To ensure fairness and equity in measuring teaching and advising, the EERE faculty created a definition, evaluation scale, and rubric for measuring teaching and advising effectiveness.

- a. *Definition of teaching* ([Section III.E.2.b.1](#)) Consideration of teaching performance may include, but need not be limited to, the following: self-evaluation, evaluation by peers and/or academic unit heads, and student evaluations. The EERE department evaluates courses through the on-line process established by the College of Education. Student evaluations may only be utilized as a formative tool or as part of a teaching portfolio. Consideration should be given to faculty member's commitment to student advising and innovations in teaching as evidenced by development of new course work, revisions to existing courses, and teaching methodology.
- b. *Areas of evaluation* Three levels of performance have been identified and outlined by the EERE faculty: Excellent, Satisfactory, and Unsatisfactory. Satisfactory performance is the minimum acceptable level of performance for teaching in EERE.

Unsatisfactory performance indicates that faculty have not met the criteria recognized as requisite for faculty members in the department in the area of teaching and/or advising (if applicable) and/or reassigned load responsibilities.

Satisfactory performance involves satisfactory teaching activities and advising (if applicable), self-reflections, **AND** innovations in teaching.

Excellent performance indicates that faculty exceeded the expected levels of performance that are outlined at the satisfactory level. Both teaching and advising (if applicable) must be above the expected norms to achieve this rating.

- c. *Rubric* The rubrics in Appendix C provide examples of ways you may indicate you've addressed each area of performance. Do not feel you must address every criterion, every year.

2. Scholarly achievement and professional qualifications (III.E.2b.2)

Faculty are expected to participate in on-going professional development to maintain and enhance their professional qualifications. Scholarship is an important component of faculty life at JMU and, due to the mission of the university, may manifest itself in different venues. To ensure that faculty efforts are examined with fairness and equity, a definition, evaluation scale, and rubric are included that depict required elements.

a. *Definition* Research and scholarship refer to conducting, disseminating, and publishing of research and scholarly studies. This can occur through a variety of different venues such as:

- 1) publications in refereed and non-refereed journals or books
- 2) presentations at professional conferences
- 3) grant work at the local, state, or federal level
- 4) editorial work for newsletters, quarterly reports, or journals
- 5) published reviews of books, textbooks, or articles
- 6) public scholarship (e.g., letter to the editor, research brief, white paper, podcast, professional blog, position statements for organizations)
- 7) professional development

b. *Areas of evaluation* Three levels of performance have been identified and outlined by the EERE faculty: Excellent, Satisfactory, and Unsatisfactory. (See Rubric Appendix C)

Unsatisfactory performance indicates that no scholarly work has been conducted.

Satisfactory performance reflects a level of satisfactory scholarly productivity as outlined in the rubric.

Excellent performance includes evidence of activity beyond the satisfactory level.

3. Professional Service

Service is another major role of faculty at JMU. To ensure fairness and equity in measurement, faculty created a definition, evaluation scale, and rubric for measuring service to the university, college, department, program, and/or community.

a. *Definition* Service involves providing assistance to others based on professional qualifications. A variety of services can be proffered to the institution, profession, community, or colleagues.

b. *Areas of evaluation* Three levels of performance have been identified and outlined by the EERE faculty: Excellent, Satisfactory, and Unsatisfactory. (See Rubric Appendix C)

Unsatisfactory performance indicates a lack of involvement in program, department, college, university, national or other professional entities.

Satisfactory performance reflects evidence of service to the university (e.g., program, department, college, or university) and profession (e.g., local, state, regional, national, international).

Excellent performance includes evidence of activity beyond the satisfactory level.

4. Reassigned Time

Faculty are evaluated in three areas – teaching effectiveness, scholarly achievement and professional qualifications, and professional service – as described above. Reassigned Time means serving in positions related to program coordinator, partnership liaison, grant funded

projects, Ethical Reasoning in Action, Center for Faculty Innovation, Professor in Residence, and any other roles negotiated with the AUH, Dean, or other administrative staff. Work done during educational leave are also examples of Reassigned Time. Faculty negotiate with the AUH to determine whether their Reassigned Time will be evaluated under teaching, scholarship, or service. Additionally, any aspects of a faculty member's conduct that impacts performance, positively or negatively, should be addressed in the evaluation of these performance areas. This is explained in Section III.E.1.a, Section III.E.2.b, and Section III.E.4 of the *JMU Faculty Handbook*.

C. Tenure and Promotion

1. Tenure and Promotion for Tenure Track Faculty (*Faculty Handbook, Section III.E.6 & 7*)

Tenure is intended to protect academic freedom, provide a reasonable measure of employment security and enable the university to retain a permanent instructional faculty of distinction. The award of tenure is based on the qualifications, performance and conduct of individual faculty members and the long-term needs, objectives and missions of the academic unit, college and university. To be awarded tenure, the faculty member must meet performance and conduct standards required for promotion to associate professor and should enhance the academic environment of the academic unit and the university.

Normally, a faculty member should have completed five years in rank before being reviewed for tenure and/or promotion and in the review, the pattern of prior annual evaluations should be considered in the analysis of the application. Problems with a faculty member's conduct may disqualify a candidate for promotion in rank or tenure. In the evaluation of faculty members being considered for promotion in academic rank, the following standards apply:

- a) At least satisfactory ratings in all areas are required for promotion to assistant professor.
- b) An excellent rating in one area and at least satisfactory ratings in the other areas are required for promotion to associate professor.
- c) Excellent ratings in two areas and at least a satisfactory rating in the third area are required for promotion to professor.

2. Promotion for Lecturer Faculty

The responsibilities of a faculty member appointed to one of the lecturer ranks are focused on teaching, with an expectation that the faculty member has at least a 75% (or some other percentage determined by EERE's AUH) teaching appointment. Lecturer appointments may include expectations for student advising, departmental service related to their instructional role, and ongoing professional development. The evaluation and promotion process will consider their contributions and achievement in light of the expectations set forth in the appointment. Tenure will not be awarded at any of these ranks.

- a) Lecturer: The rank of lecturer is used for individuals within the academic unit whose primary responsibility is teaching. Lecturers are expected to be effective teachers, participate in professional service activities, and be engaged in activities that support professional development. Lecturers may perform other tasks as required by the department including, but not limited to: student advising, revising courses and curricula, serving on program and department committees, and other administrative duties. Lecturers must have earned a minimum of a master's degree in their discipline, or related field, and have work experience and/or professional certifications that meet SACSCOC and other departmental/college accreditation requirements. In order to be promoted to Senior Lecturer, a consistent record of excellent ratings in teaching and an established ongoing record of satisfactory or above ratings in service are required. Typically, after five years at the rank of Lecturer, faculty members can apply for promotion to Senior Lecturer. Applying for such promotions is not

required. If denied promotion, a Lecturer must wait at least one additional academic year following the academic year which they applied before reapplying for promotion.

b) Senior Lecturer: In addition to the requirements of Lecturer, the rank of Senior Lecturer is expected to demonstrate a sustained record of mastery teaching and service performance, and provide evidence of continued professional development in their field of study. Senior Lecturers are expected to have an established ongoing excellent record of some combination of department, college, university, regional, national, and/or international level service. Consistently excellent ratings in teaching and service are required for promotion to Principal Lecturer. Typically, after five years in the rank of Senior Lecturer, faculty may apply for promotion to Principal Lecturer. Applying for such promotions is not required. If denied promotion, a Senior Lecturer must wait at least one additional academic year following the academic year which they applied before reapplying for promotion.

c) Principal Lecturer: In addition to the requirements of Senior Lecturer, the rank of Principal Lecturer is expected to demonstrate a sustained record of exemplary teaching and service performance, evidence of recognition (e.g., awards or award nominations, student recommendations) in the areas of teaching and/or professional service, and evidence of continued professional development in their field of study. In addition, a Principal Lecturer may be expected to have a considerable role in mentoring colleagues and graduate teaching assistants, leading course development or curricula changes, serving on committees, and guiding special instructional initiatives.

D. Benchmarks toward Promotion and Tenure

Year One: The Academic Unit Head provides a new faculty member with information concerning the department's evaluation procedures and criteria in the faculty member's first semester. The AUH will observe classroom teaching during the first semester. The faculty member will submit the First Year Faculty Mid-Year Activity Report including statements for teaching, scholarly achievement & professional qualifications, professional service, and goals for their 2nd full semester to the AUH by the end of the first week of the faculty member's second full semester of employment at JMU. The AUH will review the Mid-Year Activity Report and confer with the new faculty member no later than the end of the third full week of the semester. Conference focuses on the new faculty member's performance, professional contributions, and needs as perceived by the new faculty member and AUH. The new faculty member will submit a full Faculty Activity Report at the end of the academic year, setting goals for the following year and subsequent years to tenure and/or promotion.

Year Two: In year two, the emphasis is on formative evaluation with the intent of guiding development. Goal setting for subsequent years is also important. To maintain satisfactory progress towards tenure and/or promotion, a faculty member should strive to maintain ratings in all areas equivalent to next rank – excellent rating in one area and at least satisfactory ratings in the others for promotion to associate professor, excellent ratings in two areas and at least a satisfactory rating in the third area for promotion to professor.

Year Three: The purpose of the Midpoint Evaluation is to provide the faculty member with constructive feedback on their progress towards tenure and/or promotion, based on criteria for promotion to the next rank. This will be a cumulative evaluation of the work completed at JMU prior to this period. Ratings of unsatisfactory indicate unsatisfactory progress toward tenure and, in the probationary period can be grounds for termination. Goal setting should focus on achievement in all areas. In addition to providing performance ratings, the AUH (and, in a supplemental capacity, the PAC) provide the faculty member with a summary letter intended to highlight strengths of the faculty member's work thus far and suggest areas for growth.

Years Four and Five: Years four and five should see achievement of goals and ratings necessary

for tenure and/or promotion. The cumulative effect of research and scholarship should reflect a clearly focused research agenda or line of inquiry.

Year Six: Faculty members who are in a tenure track position are required to apply for tenure no later than year six unless the faculty member's tenure clock has been suspended due to one of the reasons listed in [Section III.E.7.c](#). The option of an earlier review can be negotiated in the hiring contract if the faculty member brings tenure credit from another institution. The faculty member's entire record of teaching, scholarly achievement and professional qualifications and professional service is included in the review. The record of activities and assignments completed in the service of the Early, Elementary, and Reading Education department are most central to determining evaluation ratings in those areas.

Years Post-Tenure: It is expected that faculty will continue to develop professionally and be productive to meet the expectations of each rank. Annual evaluations will continue to be conducted by the Personnel Advisory Committee and Academic Unit Head for associate professors. PAC evaluations are supplemental and meant to provide additional support and advice for faculty members from their peers. Once promoted to professor, the annual evaluation can be done by the Academic Unit Head, only, using a negotiated reporting format. *The Faculty Handbook, Section III.E.8*, outlines the process for post-tenure review, which can be used to encourage faculty development and productivity if a tenured faculty member fails to maintain a satisfactory level of performance.

II. Preparing the First Year, Annual, Midpoint, Tenure and/or Promotion Materials

Faculty Evaluation materials provide a picture of your professional life as a faculty member in the Early, Elementary, and Reading Education department at JMU. Specifically, it organizes and communicates your professional goals and accomplishments during a specified period of time. Organized materials assist review committees and others in understanding the quality and significance of your work. Overall, your report or folio should be organized logically to provide an evidential record that is thorough, meaningful, and succinct. A guideline for preparing documents for different evaluation points are listed below.

A. First Year Faculty Mid-Year Activity Report

Materials to be submitted: First Year Faculty Mid-Year Activity Report is submitted to the Academic Unit Head by the end of the first week of the second full semester. See the First Year Faculty Mid-Year Activity Report in the Appendix.

B. Annual Evaluation (FAR)

Materials to be submitted: The Faculty Activity Report (FAR) is submitted to the Academic Unit Head who will make these available to the Personnel Advisory Committee (PAC). The PAC is a standing committee of at least three tenured or tenure-track faculty who are elected to serve three-year terms. PAC evaluations are supplemental and meant to provide additional support and advice for faculty members from their peers. *Materials not submitted by the third Friday in May will not be considered by the Personnel Advisory Committee.*

Full professors may opt to submit, to the AUH only, a highlighted VITA and a statement of reflections on goals for the past year and goals for the next year.

C. Midpoint Evaluation

Materials for Tenure Track Faculty to be submitted: Midpoint evaluation is submitted to the Academic Unit Head by October 1. AUH will make the materials available to the PAC; PAC evaluations are supplemental and meant to provide additional support and advice for faculty

members from their peers.

1. Curriculum Vita: A curriculum vita provides an overview of the faculty member's professional life. Accomplishments made during the time of evaluation should be highlighted (one year to three years).

2. Faculty Activity Reports for the past three years.

3. Evidence of meeting criteria through a narrative reflecting on the evidence or explaining its significance for each of the three categories: teaching, scholarly achievement and professional qualifications, and professional service. According to the *Faculty Handbook*, Section III.E.1.a, any aspects of a faculty member's conduct that impacts performance, positive or negative, should be addressed in these evaluations.

a) TEACHING (in as many words as necessary)

1. A statement of critical reflection on teaching for the past three years.
2. Teaching philosophy, research, or theoretical models that undergird practice.
3. Evidence (qualitative and/or quantitative) to suggest one's development over the past three years.
4. Goals for the next three years.

b) SCHOLARLY ACHIEVEMENT AND PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS

1. Candidate's statement on research and scholarship.
2. Contribution of research/scholarship.
3. Goals for the next three years.

c) PROFESSIONAL SERVICE

1. Statement on service activities as a member of a program, department, college, and university.
2. Contributions to the profession at local, state/regional, national, and international levels.
3. Goals for the next three years.

d) REASSIGNED TIME (stated under the categories above).

1. Responsibilities and periods of reassigned time.
2. Accomplishments and/or contributions.
3. Goals for the next three years if applicable.

D. Tenure and/or Promotion

Materials to be submitted:

As part of the promotion and/or tenure process, a portfolio—either paper- or web-based—is to be compiled and sent forward for review to the Dean, Provost, President, and then the BOV. The materials should be well organized and additional supporting evidence may be provided. Printed materials will be kept at the departmental level during the Personnel Advisory Committee and Academic Unit Head review process and then provided to the dean. Printed materials will not be forwarded to the Provost's office.

Lecturers seeking promotion to any rank will submit all materials listed below except for those pertaining to Scholarship.

1. Written intent to apply for tenure and/or promotion that needs to be submitted to the AUH by September 1st.

2. Curriculum Vita: A curriculum vita provides an overview of the faculty member's professional

life. Accomplishments made during the time of evaluation should be highlighted (one year to six years).

3. Evidence of meeting criteria through a narrative reflecting on the evidence or explaining its significance for each of the three categories: teaching, scholarly achievement and professional qualifications, and professional service. According to the *Faculty Handbook*, Section III.E.1.a, any aspects of a faculty member's conduct that impacts performance, positive or negative, should be addressed in these evaluations.

a) TEACHING

1. Candidate's statement on teaching and advising including philosophy, methodology, materials developed, effectiveness, challenges, etc.
2. Evidence of teaching effectiveness (see rubric in Appendix C for examples)
3. Goals for the next 3-5 years

b) SCHOLARLY ACHIEVEMENT AND PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS

1. Candidate's statement on research and scholarship
2. List of publications, presentations, etc. (see rubric in Appendix C for examples)
3. Impact of research/scholarship
4. Goals for the next 3-5 years

c) SERVICE

1. Candidate's statement on service activities
2. Program and Departmental Service
3. College and University Service
4. Professional Service (local, state, and regional)
5. Professional Service (national and international)
6. Goals for the next 3-5 years

d) REASSIGNED TIME (stated under the categories above).

1. Responsibilities and periods of reassigned time
2. Accomplishments and/or contributions
3. Goals for the next three years if applicable

E. Compelling Case for Early Tenure or Promotion for Tenure Track Faculty

The Faculty Handbook requires that each academic unit define what is required for a faculty member to make a "compelling case" for early consideration for promotion (Faculty Handbook III.E.6) and tenure (Faculty Handbook III.E.7.b). Proposals for early promotion and tenure are considered extraordinary actions. Tenure-track faculty members who intend to submit a package for promotion and tenure before they have completed their time in rank (as described in the James Madison University (JMU) Faculty Handbook or their contract) are advised to be familiar with the provisions regarding early promotion and tenure in the current version of the Faculty Handbook and academic unit guidelines for Promotion & Tenure.

Early Promotion and Tenure to Associate Professor

To make a compelling case for early promotion and tenure, to Associate Professor, an EERE faculty member must have demonstrated a record of success as an Assistant Professor at JMU as evidenced by:

- a) a demonstrated a pattern of excellence in evaluations, as determined by academic unit guidelines, in teaching, scholarship, and service, and;
- b) documented excellence in their discipline as attested by a national reputation and three letters of support from nationally recognized scholars.

Early Promotion to Full Professor

To make a compelling case for early promotion to Full Professor, an EERE faculty member must have demonstrated a record of success as an Associate Professor at JMU as evidenced by:

- a) a demonstrated a pattern of excellence in evaluations, as determined by academic unit guidelines in teaching, scholarship, and service, and;
- b) documented excellence in their discipline as attested by a national reputation and at least three letters of support from nationally recognized scholars.

The Early Promotion and Tenure Process and Timeline

EERE faculty members who wish to apply for early promotion and tenure to Associate professor or early promotion to full Professor must consult with the Dean of the College of Education (COE) and the EERE Academic Unit Head (AUH) about their candidacy prior to the submission of the faculty member's dossier. This initial consultation is intended to insure the EERE Personnel Advisory Committee (PAC) can be notified and are aware of the faculty members intention during the annual review process. When consulting with the Dean of the COE and EERE AUH of their desire to apply for early promotion and tenure to Associate professor or promotion to full Professor, the faculty member must present the following materials:

1. A letter of justification explaining why and how the candidate believes they have demonstrated a pattern of excellence in teaching, scholarship, service, and excellence in their discipline.
2. Documentation of annual reviews from both the EERE AUH and EERE PAC demonstrating a pattern of excellence in evaluations, as determined by academic unit guidelines in teaching, scholarship, and service.
3. A list of five nationally recognized scholars, listed alphabetically, in the faculty member's discipline from outside of the JMU community. The AUH and Dean will request letters of support from three scholars on this list that could potentially provide a letter of support for the faculty member as part of external review. The nationally recognized scholars will review the faculty member's dossier and will be provided with EERE's rubric if/when the early promotion application moves forward.

A nationally recognized scholar is defined as an individual in the faculty member's field that are external to JMU, tenured at their current institution, and has a consistent pattern of contributions to the field.

The Dean and EERE Unit Head will review materials submitted and determine whether or not the evidence supports the faculty member moving forward with pursuit of early promotion and tenure to associate professor or promotion to full professor and advise the candidate of their assessment separately, in writing, by the end of the current academic year's contract period as defined by the Faculty Handbook (Faculty Handbook III.D.2.a.).

The decision by the Dean of the COE and EERE AUH to allow a compelling case to move forward does not alter the review process nor ensure advancement towards promotion and tenure to Associate professor or promotion to full Professor. The initial consultation with the Dean of the College and the EERE AUH is only permission to submit materials and is independent of the review process. The faculty member will be held to the same expectations that they would encounter during the regular promotion and tenure cycle.

Faculty members have the right to withdraw their application for tenure and/or promotion any time prior to when the Provost makes their decision.

F. Compelling Case for Early Promotion for Lecturers

The Faculty Handbook requires that each academic unit define what is required for a faculty member to make a "compelling case" for early consideration for promotion (Faculty Handbook III.E.6). Proposals for early promotion are considered extraordinary actions. Faculty are advised to be familiar with the

provisions regarding early promotion in the current version of the Faculty Handbook and academic unit guidelines for Promotion.

Early Promotion to Senior Lecturer

To make a compelling case for early promotion to Senior Lecturer, an EERE faculty member must have demonstrated a record of success as a Lecturer at JMU as evidenced by:

- a) a demonstrated a pattern of excellence in evaluations, as determined by academic unit guidelines, in teaching, professional development, and service, and;
- b) documented excellence in their discipline as attested by a regional and/or national reputation and three letters of support from regionally or nationally recognized educators.

Early Promotion to Principal Lecturer

To make a compelling case for early promotion to Principal Lecturer, an EERE faculty member must have demonstrated a record of success as a Senior Lecturer at JMU as evidenced by:

- a) a demonstrated a pattern of excellence in evaluations, as determined by academic unit guidelines in teaching, professional development, and service, and;
- b) documented excellence in their discipline as attested by a national reputation and at least three letters of support from nationally recognized educators.

The Early Promotion Process and Timeline

EERE faculty members who wish to apply for early promotion to Senior Lecturer or Principal Lecturer must consult with the Dean of the College of Education (COE) and the EERE Academic Unit Head (AUH) about their candidacy prior to the submission of the faculty member's dossier. This initial consultation is intended to insure the EERE Personnel Advisory Committee (PAC) can be notified and are aware of the faculty member's intention during the annual review process.

When consulting with the Dean of the COE and EERE AUH of their desire to apply for early promotion, the faculty member must present the following materials:

1. A letter of justification explaining why and how the candidate believes they have demonstrated a pattern of excellence in teaching, professional development, service, and excellence in their discipline.
2. Documentation of annual reviews from both the EERE AUH and EERE PAC demonstrating a pattern of excellence in evaluations, as determined by academic unit guidelines in teaching, professional development, and service.
3. A list of five nationally recognized educators, listed alphabetically, in the faculty member's discipline from outside of the JMU community. The AUH and Dean will request letters of support from three educators on this list that could potentially provide a letter of support for the faculty member as part of external review. The nationally recognized scholars will review the faculty member's dossier and will be provided with EERE's rubric if/when the early promotion application moves forward.

A ***nationally recognized educator*** is defined as an individual in the faculty member's field that is external to JMU, holding a rank equal to or above with that of the promotion sought at their current institution, and has a consistent pattern of contributions to the field.

The Dean and EERE Unit Head will review materials submitted and determine whether or not the evidence supports the faculty member moving forward with pursuit of early promotion to Senior or Principal Lecturer and advise the candidate of their assessment separately, in writing, by the end of the current academic year's contract period as defined by the Faculty Handbook (Faculty Handbook III.D.2.a.).

The decision by the Dean of the COE and EERE AUH to allow a compelling case to move forward does not alter the review process nor ensure advancement towards promotion to Senior or Principal Lecturer. The initial consultation with the Dean of the College and the EERE AUH is only permission to submit materials and is independent of the review process. The faculty member will be held to the same expectations that they would encounter during the regular promotion cycle. Applying for promotion is not required; if denied promotion, the faculty member must wait at least one additional academic year following the academic year which they applied before reapplying for promotion.

Faculty members have the right to withdraw their application for promotion any time prior to when the Provost makes their decision.

III. Evaluation Process

A. Composition of EERE Personnel Advisory Committee

The Early, Elementary, and Reading Education Personnel Advisory Committee (PAC) will be composed of at least three tenured or tenure-track faculty, the majority of whom must be tenured. Members shall serve for a three-year term. Terms will be staggered. PAC members may serve one term. A member who has served is eligible to serve again after being off the PAC one full year. Service on the Personnel Advisory Committee is for the summer, fall and spring terms, since much of the annual review process occurs in the summer. These guidelines are aligned with the JMU Faculty Handbook, Section *III.E.2.a. AUPAC*.

A non-tenured and a tenured alternate will be elected to serve when regular members are ineligible or unavailable. Alternates serve for a one-year term. Alternates will participate in PAC activities as needed and as appropriate. Only tenured PAC members may vote on tenure decisions and there must be a minimum of three. If there is an untenured faculty member on PAC, the AUH will ask for volunteers from the department to serve as a tenured alternate to review and vote on tenure decisions. If necessary, tenured faculty from other departments or colleges on campus will be appointed by the Associate Dean to serve in order to meet this requirement.

Nominations for Personnel Advisory Committee will be requested by the Academic Unit Head the Monday following spring break. Nominations will be shared within a week and elections will be held before April 1. Voting is facilitated by the AUH using a closed ballot with the majority determining the election.

B. Composition of Personnel Advisory Committee (PAC) for Promotion of Lecturers –The EERE Personnel Advisory Committee will be responsible for reviewing the Lecturer candidate's materials for promotion to the rank of Senior and Principal Lecturers. For the purpose of making decisions regarding lecturer promotion, when possible, it is expected that at least one member of the committee is at or above the Lecturer rank being reviewed for promotion. The EERE PAC will make a recommendation to grant or deny promotion with detailed explanation for such a decision. The written recommendation and justification shall be submitted to the Dean, along with the AUH's recommendation and justification, by November 15th.

C. Roles and Responsibilities of Personnel Advisory Committee

EERE PAC annual responsibilities include 1) reviewing, evaluating, and providing letters including constructive feedback and ratings on annual Faculty Activity Reports; 2) reviewing, evaluating, and providing feedback on Midpoint Evaluations; 3) reviewing, evaluating, and providing letters including

ratings and recommendations on tenure and/or promotion dossiers; 4) in close communication with EERE faculty, updating EERE evaluation guidelines as needed; and 5) meeting with new faculty to introduce the EERE evaluation guidelines and processes. Additional EERE PAC responsibilities may include 1) nominating EERE faculty for JMU and/or COE awards and honors; 2) writing letters of support for EERE faculty for JMU and/or COE awards and honors.

D. Faculty/Staff Evaluation Tasks and Timelines

Month	Task	Due date
September	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tenure Track Faculty submit written intent to apply for promotion/tenure to PAC (Personnel Advisory Committee), AUH, and Dean Lecturers submit written intent to apply for promotion to PAC, AUH, and Dean. Academic Unit Head (AUH) confirms graduate faculty status. AUH meets with new faculty to talk about evaluation process. 	SEP 1
October	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tenure Track faculty submit Midpoint Evaluation or promotion/tenure materials to the Personnel Advisory Committee (PAC), AUH, and Dean. Lecturer faculty submit promotion materials to PAC, AUH, and Dean Early promotion/tenure dossiers due Written annual evaluations sent to faculty by AUH and PAC 	OCT 1
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If necessary, faculty must appeal within 7 days of receipt of an unsatisfactory annual evaluation from the AUH. 	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> AUH schedules conferences with faculty to discuss annual evaluation/FAR. Evaluation conferences focus on faculty member's performance, professional contributions, and needs as perceived by faculty member and AUH. Conference can be canceled by mutual agreement. 	By OCT 21
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Written summary of annual evaluations (FARs of EERE faculty) sent to Dean by AUH 	OCT 28
November	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Remediation recommendation for tenured faculty found unsatisfactory in two out of three most recent annual evaluations (FARs) 	NOV 1
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter regarding the recommendation of tenure and/or promotion for faculty due to Dean from AUH and PAC A copy of written evaluations provided concurrently to faculty. Letter regarding the recommendation of Midpoint Evaluation due to tenure track faculty from AUH and PAC 	NOV 15
December	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> AUH Provides First Year Faculty Mid-Year Activity Report to new faculty 	DEC 1
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter regarding recommendation for tenure and/or promotion due from the Dean to provost. 	DEC 15

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Deadline for termination notification for untenured and unsatisfactory faculty members in 2nd year of service 	
January	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> First Year Faculty Mid-Year Activity Report due to AUH 	1st week of semester
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> First Year Faculty Mid-Year Activity Report written evaluation due from AUH to faculty member. Conference focuses on the new faculty member's performance, professional contributions, and needs as perceived by the new faculty member and AUH. 	2nd week of semester
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Copy of signed First Year Faculty Mid-Year Activity Report due to Dean from AUH 	3rd week of semester
February	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Written notification of tenure/promotion recommendation from Provost 	FEB 1
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If AUH recommends nonrenewal in the First Year Faculty Mid-Year Activity Report PAC must review and send recommendation to Dean 	6th week of semester
March	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Faculty pursuing early promotion/and or tenure meet with AUH and PAC to discuss their compelling case Faculty Activity Report (FARs) forms distributed Request nominations to serve on PAC 	MAR 1
April	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Election of PAC <p>*If Lecturer is applying for promotion, an individual with a Senior or Principal Lecturer rank should be elected temporarily on PAC if possible</p>	
May	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Faculty members applying for early promotion consult in writing with Dean, AUH, and PAC about their candidacy by May 1 of the academic year preceding their application. 	MAY 1
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Faculty Activity Report due by all faculty (tenure track and Lecturers) 	3rd Friday in MAY
June-August	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> AUH and PAC Review Faculty Activity Reports AUH and PAC review early promotion and/or early tenure materials to determine eligibility and advise candidate of their independent assessments in writing, by June 15th. 	AUG 31

E. Response to Evaluation Process

The Faculty Handbook section III.E.4.g outlines the Appeal for annual evaluations. There must be an opportunity for the faculty member to review and appeal the evaluation to the body designated by the academic unit, before the AUH submits the official written evaluation to the dean. When needed, an appeals committee will be formed, separate from AUPAC through volunteers from EERE faculty, to process appeals for annual evaluations. If needed, additional members can come from CPAC but must include at least one EERE faculty member. The Appeals Committee will consist of three faculty members not currently serving on AUPAC. Each faculty member has a maximum of seven business days following receipt of the official written evaluation to make the appeal in writing. Failure to file a timely written appeal will result in the evaluation being sent forward to the dean and no further appeal rights are available. The Faculty Handbook section III.E.4.h. states that the appeal process in the academic unit must be completed by October 21.

F. Details on Merit Pay

Salary adjustments that reflect merit will be divided and shared equally by all full-time tenure track faculty, lecturers, and instructors in the department.

EERE First-Year Faculty Mid-Year Activity Report

Please provide data identified below to your department head by the first week of the second full semester. This request, and the information reported in it, does not restrict academic freedom as defined by the American Association of University Professors. In your report letter, please provide the information asked for in italics and then address items I, II, III, and IV.

Name

Current Rank:

Dates of Service in Current Position:

Department (Program):

Courses Taught Fall 20__:

Courses Teaching Spring 20__:

For each semester above, list any reassigned time with corresponding credit hours that you were/are assigned:

I. TEACHING

Reflect on your teaching. In this section, you might provide a narrative of your teaching effectiveness, self-reflection, innovations in teaching, student interactions, and/or ways that you promote diversity, equity, and inclusion in your teaching. If you negotiated any Reassigned Time to be evaluated under teaching, report on that here. See Appendix C for Teaching Rubric Criteria.

II. SCHOLARLY ACHIEVEMENT & PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS

Reflect on your scholarly achievements and professional development. In this section, you might reflect on your publications, presentations at professional conferences, public scholarship, grant activities, editorial work, professional development, and the ways you promote diversity, equity, and inclusion in your scholarship. If you negotiated any Reassigned Time to be evaluated under scholarship, report on that here. See Appendix C for Scholarly Achievement rubric.

III. PROFESSIONAL SERVICE

Reflect on your professional service related to the university (university, unit, college, and/or your program) and to the professional organizations of your discipline (international, national, regional, state, and/or local-levels). In this section, you might reflect on your engagement in professional organizations; in program, department, college, and/or university committee attendance and contributions; role as a reviewer; program development; field related engagement; mentorship of faculty; and/or ways that you promote diversity, equity, and inclusion in your service. If you negotiated any Reassigned Time to be evaluated under service, report on that here. See Appendix C for Professional Service rubric.

IV. FUTURE PROFESSIONAL GOALS

In preparation for your mid-year conference with the Academic Unit Head, list your professional goals and expected activities for the next 18 months. Be as specific as possible, noting projects and proposals that are currently in various stages of development; describing service activities/responsibilities/commitments you anticipate or are involved in; and describing innovations that you plan to pursue. Identify specific goal(s) from the JMU

College of Education's Disrupting Racism and Injustice in Education 5 Ps [Infographic](#) or the longer [JMU COE's Statement of Solidarity, Commitment, or Action](#) to include in your teaching, scholarly achievement and professional qualifications, and/or professional service goals which demonstrates your commitment to promoting access, equity, and inclusion.

EERE Tenure Track Faculty Activity Report (FAR)

Name

Department

Ranks held at JMU and years (include dates) in each

Assigned duties (e.g., teaching, research, service, leadership, reassigned time) at the University

Employment - Provide in chronological order any position held during the past 10 years which are not indicated above.

Period covered: (May/year - May/year)

Name: _____ **Rank:** _____ **Years in rank:** _____

This form is for submitting data to the PAC and to the Academic Unit Head. The requests made and the information reported does not restrict academic freedom as defined by AAUP.

Directions: Submit completed form (electronically) to the Academic Unit Head's office by **the third Friday in May**. *Personnel Advisory Committee will only review forms received by this date.*

A. Provide activities within the prescribed time period.

B. Provide information in the requested formats: Rows may be added to/deleted from tables as needed.

C. If an activity is listed in more than one area (scholarship, teaching, etc.), an explanation must be provided for its inclusion in each area.

D. Use Appendix C EERE Faculty Evaluation Rubric

Goals for the past year (embedded with DEI goals from [5 Ps document](#) or the [longer JMU COE's Statement of Solidarity, Commitment, or Action](#))

Area	Goals	(Completed/in progress/not begun)
Teaching	1. 2. 3.	
Professional Service	1. 2. 3.	
Scholarly Achievement and Professional Qualifications	1. 2. 3.	

Reflection on Goals. Explain your progress, challenges, and concerns related to reaching each of your goals.

I. TEACHING AND STUDENT ENGAGEMENT

Returning faculty: Include summer, fall, winter, and spring of the last academic year in the teaching section.

First year faculty: Include only fall, winter, and spring in the teaching section.

C. Teaching

1. List the courses you taught each term. Remove/Add rows as needed.

<u>Summer Courses Taught</u>	Course prefix and #	Course title (w/credit hours)	Modality of course (i.e., lab, lecture, practicum, online)	# of students
Course #1				

<u>Fall Courses Taught</u>	Course prefix and #	Course title (w/credit hours)	Modality of course (i.e., lab, lecture, practicum, online)	# of students
Course #1				
Course #2				
Course #3				

<u>Winter Courses Taught</u>	Course prefix and #	Course title (w/credit hours)	Modality of course (i.e., lab, lecture, practicum, online)	# of students
Course #1				

<u>Spring Courses Taught</u>	Course prefix and #	Course title (w/credit hours)	Modality of course (i.e., lab, lecture, practicum, online)	# of students
Course #1				
Course #2				
Course #3				

2. Narrative of Teaching Effectiveness: Using as many words as necessary, write a narrative that provides evidence of your teaching effectiveness through engagement in activities such as, but not limited to the following (see rubric Appendix C):

- a) Describe both support you received for your teaching and any circumstances that hindered your success.
- b) Draw from evidence and artifacts you have related to your teaching and/or supervision/clinical responsibilities (see list of evidence and artifacts at the end of Appendix C).
- c) Describe your efforts to keep courses current and delivery relevant, including use of specialized knowledge, recent and appropriate research, and developments and/or technologies.
- e) Describe ways your teaching (processes, coursework, pedagogies, curriculum, etc.) promotes access, equity, and inclusion as an explicitly valued part of teaching and learning.

B. STUDENT ENGAGEMENT

1. Describe any work with independent studies, undergraduate, honors and/or graduate thesis/project committees and comprehensive exam committees.

Student name	Title or name of project	Type (e.g., Honors thesis, independent study)	Your role (e.g., chair, member)	Completion date or expected completion date

Add additional comments for Student Engagement here:

2. Describe any other activities with students other than those above. Include university programs, students in research or professional service, letters of reference, meeting with students, mentoring or coaching marginalized or underrepresented students, or working with student groups or organizations.

Type of Activity	Number of students involved	Description of activity

Add additional comments for Other Activities here:

C. REASSIGNED TIME

If you negotiated any Reassigned Time to be evaluated under TEACHING, report on your work here.

II. SCHOLARLY ACHIEVEMENT & PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS

A. Scholarship

1. List publications for the past report year using APA format. Add or remove rows in tables as needed.

Refereed publications (also includes full manuscripts published as conference proceedings that can be found in a searchable database.)	
Non-refereed publications (also includes published book reviews, curriculum materials used in the field, local or regional newsletters, website development, creative works, etc.)	
Working title of paper/projects in progress (Include work as an editor for a book or a journal being developed.)	Anticipated date for submission or completion of project

2. List professional presentations using APA format.

Level of Presentation	Citation in APA format
National and international	
State and regional	
Local and units smaller than statewide	

3. List public scholarship you have authored or co-authored.

Public scholarship (e.g., letter to the editor, research brief, white paper, podcast, blog, position statements)

4. List grant proposals submitted, funded, and/or completed and your role in each activity.

Title	Source	Amount	Date submitted	Funded (yes/no)	Your role	Date completed

B. Professional Qualifications

1. List positions you have held as editor of a newsletter, report, or journal, where you are not a primary author (Authorship of completed publications should be reported under scholarship).

Editor position	Time period	Activity/production

2. List the specific activities that have impacted your professional development and describe how they have enhanced your teaching, scholarly achievement and professional qualifications or professional service (e.g., JMU faculty development through CFI, professional conference sessions, collaborative work, tutorials, online learning modules, book clubs).

Professional Development	Date	Area of Impact (Teaching, Scholarship, Service)	Describe how your professional qualifications were enhanced by the professional development experience.

3. Identify ways any of your scholarship and/or professional development made contributions to the promotion of diversity, access, equity, or inclusion.

4. Add additional comments on scholarly achievement and professional qualifications. Here you can demonstrate the value/prestige of publications that PAC or AUHs may be unfamiliar with or that are outside of the "mainstream."

C. Reassigned Time

If you negotiated any Reassigned Time to be evaluated under SCHOLARSHIP, report on your work here.

III. PROFESSIONAL SERVICE

A. Organizations and Committees

1. List current professional organization memberships, offices, and boards beginning with international, national, then regional, state and ending with local engagement. Give full name and acronym. Include dates to indicate years of service.

Name of organization	Level (e.g., international, national, regional, state, local engagement)	Level of involvement and collaboration (e.g., position held, meetings attended, responsibilities, and how your contributions helped move the agenda forward)

2. List university-related committees/commissions, boards and/or student hearings, etc.

Name of committee	Level: University, college, department, or program engagement	Position held, level of involvement, responsibilities, and how your contributions helped move the agenda forward

3. List reviews completed. Include type of document (e.g., journal article, book review, chapter review, conference proposals). These are reviews that are returned to an editor or conference chair as service to your profession rather than reviews intended for publication.

Type of Document	For what organization	Date

B. Programs and Field Service

1. Describe your involvement in designing new courses/programs and/or reviewing, evaluating, and revising programs.

2. Field related engagement: List consulting (paid or unpaid) and other services provided to schools, agencies, businesses, etc. Consulting in this category refers to the development of new materials, analysis and synthesis of information related to the consulting topic, and any follow-up report.

Topic/purpose	Client /Organization (e.g., school district, business organization)	Number of hrs/days including preparation, delivery, & follow-up	Your role	Documentation produced/resulting from your involvement

3. Describe your work with mentoring junior faculty, adjuncts, or assisting colleagues with maintaining or expanding their knowledge of current professional practice and scholarship.

4. Describe ways that your service contributed to promoting access, equity, or inclusion.

C. Reassigned Time

If you negotiated any Reassigned Time to be evaluated under SERVICE, report on your work here.

IV. RELATED PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES

Use this portion of the FAR form to discuss professional activities not addressed elsewhere or to explain activities in the above sections that may not be a good “fit” in the sections assigned.

V. Goals

In preparation for your evaluation conference with the Department Head, list your professional goals and expected activities for the next academic year. Be as specific as possible, noting projects and proposals that are currently in various stages of development; describing service activities/responsibilities/commitments you anticipate or are involved in; and describing innovations that you plan to pursue. **Identify specific goal(s) from the JMU College of Education’s Disrupting Racism and Injustice in Education 5 Ps Infographic or the longer JMU COE’s Statement of Solidarity, Commitment, or Action to include in your teaching, scholarly achievement and professional qualifications, and/or professional service goals which demonstrates your commitment to promoting access, equity, and inclusion.** If you would like to serve as a mentor or would like to receive formal mentorship, please express this in your goals.

Note: These goals may be adjusted based on your personal reflections, feedback from the Personnel Advisory Committee, and feedback from the Academic Unit Head. In addition, you may propose a negotiated teaching load for consideration by the Academic Unit Head.

Teaching

Scholarly achievement and professional qualifications

Professional service

EERE Faculty Evaluation Rubric

EERE Faculty Evaluation Rubric is used to evaluate annual faculty activity reports, midpoint reviews, and tenure and promotion dossiers. For multi-year reviews (i.e., midpoint, tenure and/or promotion), the rubric is applied holistically, with the expectation that satisfactory and/or excellent levels of performance are met most/all years under review. The rubric is used to guide conversation around progress for first year faculty mid-year activity reports.

Do not feel you must address every box every year. *Must provide evidence to asterisked criteria.

I. Teaching and Student Engagement

Teaching Effectiveness

Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory	Excellent
	Satisfactory Performance involves satisfactory narratives based on evidence provided and innovations in teaching. *Must provide evidence to asterisked criteria.	Excellent Performance includes evidence of activity beyond the satisfactory level.
<p>The teaching narrative does not provide a representative sample of the criteria.</p> <p>The narrative should include more depth of analysis and/or reflection.</p>	<p>*The teaching narrative provides specific evidence of a representative example of the following criteria.</p> <p>The teaching narrative specifically focuses on at least 3 of the following pieces of evidence in more depth*.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clearly communicated policies, practices, and expectations of the course including coverage for instructor absence. • Modeled the use of instructional and/or information technology. Included content reflecting information, skills and dispositions that is current, relevant, represents multiple perspectives and contexts, and higher levels of learning (Bloom's). • Gathered formative feedback about own teaching from a variety of sources (e.g., colleagues, CFI, Assessment, students) using a variety of strategies. • Used a variety of strategies (e.g., media, formats) including use of technology to deliver information to learners. • Maintained fair and impartial grading standards. • Provided timely feedback on progress. • Respected alternative opinions and voices, openness to questions, opportunities to engage with others, acceptance of diverse contexts and productivity, preparedness. • Activated students' prior knowledge and helped make connections to course content processes, and outcomes • Provided constructive formative feedback to learners throughout the course. • Provided authentic opportunities for learners to actively engage with information, skills, & stakeholders 	<p>*The teaching narrative provides specific evidence of a representative example of the following criteria.</p> <p>Excellent narratives include a representative sample from the SATISFACTORY contributions AND at least 3 of the following criteria.*</p> <p>Narrative specifically focuses on at least 3 of the following pieces of evidence in more depth.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Employed active teaching/learning processes (i.e., collaborative learning, cooperative learning, team learning, problem-based learning). • Integrated critical thinking activities into teaching and learning activities • Provided learners with the opportunity to create a variety of products using their creativity, scholarship, effective communication skills, and critical thinking. • Encouraged learners to use a variety of media and strategies to represent their learning of course content, processes and skills. • Integrated independent, small group and large group practice into the course. • Incorporated intercultural and international perspectives and engagement into content and learning experiences. Integrated practices, pedagogies, curricula, and perspectives that support social justice, anti-racist, culturally responsive/sustaining, and/or anti-bias education. • Demonstrated examples of community or civic engagement in classroom curriculum and pedagogy • Demonstrated specific examples of contributing to the mission and vision of JMU, EERE, and/or the COE.

Self Reflections

Reflections do not include specific examples or insight.	Evidence of specific insights gained from teaching experiences and/or feedback from evaluations.	Evidence of specific insights gained from teaching experiences and/or feedback from evaluations and discussions of how insights will influence subsequent teaching.
--	--	---

Innovations

Failure to make changes in courses in response to expressed concerns.	Evidence of efforts to keep courses and delivery current and/or respond to formative and/or summative assessment data.	Evidence of efforts to keep courses current and evidence of impact of innovations on teaching.
---	--	--

Student Interactions (Working with individual students or student groups (e.g., undergraduate research, honors, independent studies)

Unavailable to students. Minimum involvement with students or student groups.	Evidence of satisfactory performance in working with students. Supports and interacts with student group(s) or supports marginalized students.	Evidence of the impact the faculty work has on students' JMU experience outside of their normal teaching load.
---	--	--

Promotes Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion in Teaching

No evidence of teaching that promotes diversity, equity, and inclusion	Demonstrates teaching strategies, coursework, curriculum, and/or structures that promote diversity, equity, and inclusion.	Evidence demonstrates an intentional focus on centering diversity, equity, and inclusion in all aspects of teaching.
--	--	--

Reassigned Time (if applicable, performance in positions such as coordinator, partnership liaison, grant funded role, Center for Faculty Innovation, PIR, ERIA, research release and during educational leave)

Failure to complete assigned responsibilities.	Evidence of satisfactory performance in carrying out the responsibilities of the reassignment.	Evidence of excellent performance in carrying out responsibilities.
--	--	---

II. Scholarly Achievement and Professional Qualifications

Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory Satisfactory Performance involves satisfactory narratives based on evidence provided and scholarly productivity.	Excellent Excellent Performance includes evidence of activity beyond the satisfactory level.
-----------------------	---	--

Publications

No publications.	Published one article in a refereed or non-refereed journal, conference proceedings, national publication, invited chapter or article, and book reviews.	Published more than one article in a refereed journal and/or a national publication, invited chapter or article, a book, and/or book reviews.
------------------	--	---

Presentations

No presentations at state, regional, or national conferences.	A presentation at a state, regional, or national conference.	Presentations at state, regional, national, and/or international conferences.
---	--	---

Public Scholarship

No public scholarship.	Published one piece of public scholarship (e.g., letter to the editor, research brief, white paper, podcast, blog, position statements).	Published more than one piece of public scholarship.
------------------------	--	--

Grants

No grants submitted.	Submitted grant proposal either as single grant writer or as a member of a grant-writing team and/or is working on a grant that was written by another faculty member.	Grant funded or project for grant completed successfully.
----------------------	--	---

Editorial

No editorial work.	Drove the agenda of a scholarly journal by serving as a guest editor of a special issue or serving on an editorial board.	Drove the agenda of a scholarly journal by serving as the general editor.
--------------------	---	---

Professional Development

No evidence of professional growth and development.	Participated in professional development opportunities.	Evidence that information gained from participating in professional development opportunities has been used to improve teaching, service, or scholarship.
---	---	---

Promotes Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion in Scholarship & Professional Qualifications

No evidence of scholarship or professional development that promotes diversity, equity, or inclusion.	Demonstrated scholarship or professional development that promotes diversity, equity, and inclusion.	Evidence demonstrates an intentional focus on centering diversity, equity, and inclusion in scholarship and professional development.
---	--	---

Reassigned Time (if applicable, performance in positions such as coordinator, partnership liaison, grant funded role, Center for Faculty Innovation, PIR, ERIA, research release and during educational leave)

Failure to complete assigned responsibilities.	Evidence of satisfactory performance in carrying out the responsibilities of the reassignment.	Evidence of excellent performance in carrying out responsibilities.
--	--	---

III. Professional Service

Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory Satisfactory Performance reflects evidence of service to university (e.g., program, department, college, or university) and profession (e.g., local, state, regional, national, international).	Excellent Excellent Performance includes evidence of activity beyond the satisfactory level.
-----------------------	--	--

Engagement in Professional Organizations

Minimum involvement in professional organizations at the state or national level.	Evidence of regular participation in professional organizations beyond the university.	Evidence of enhancing the profession beyond the university, such as leadership in a professional organization through committees, task forces, elected or appointed offices.
---	--	--

Program, Department, College, and/or University Committee Attendance & Contributions

Minimum involvement in programmatic, departmental, college-wide or university issues and concerns.	Evidence of regular participation in program, department, college and/or university activities.	Evidence of enhancing program, college, department, and/or university activities and/or initiatives.
--	---	--

Reviewer

Does not serve as a reviewer.	Evidence of work as reviewer for external funding agencies, scholarly publications, and external academic organizations.	Consistently served as a reviewer for multiple organizations or journals.
-------------------------------	--	---

Program Development

Does not participate in designing or revising courses or programs.	Evidence of involvement in designing new courses or programs and/or reviewing, evaluating, and revising programs.	Served as a leader in developing new courses and programs or in program improvement initiatives.
--	---	--

Field Related Engagement

Minimum involvement in field related services or consulting	Evidence of enhancing the profession beyond the university through field related services and/or consulting. Could include professional development for the field at the state or local level.	Evidence of field related services and/or consulting at the state, national, and/or international level.
---	--	--

Mentorship of Faculty

Minimum effort to enhance the professional growth of colleagues.	Evidence of formal mentorship responsibilities and/or contributions to the professional growth of colleagues through the sharing of resources, observing teaching, and offering advice and support.	Evidence of significant formal mentorship responsibilities and informal contributions to the professional growth of colleagues through the sharing of resources, observing teaching, and offering advice and support.
--	---	---

Promotes DEI in Service Opportunities

Minimum service of promoting equity, diversity, or inclusion throughout service opportunities.	Evidence of promoting equity, diversity, and inclusion throughout service obligations.	Demonstrated an intentional focus and significant contributions of promoting diversity, equity, and inclusion throughout service obligations.
--	--	---

Reassigned Time (If applicable, performance in positions such as coordinator, partnership liaison, grant funded role, Center for Faculty Innovation, PIR, ERIA, research release and during educational leave)

Failure to complete assigned responsibilities.	Evidence of satisfactory performance in carrying out the responsibilities of the reassignment.	Evidence of excellent performance in carrying out responsibilities.
--	--	---

Evidence and artifacts that demonstrate teaching criteria (examples not a full list) – note: student course evaluations are not to be used as primary forms of evaluation; rather, as a possible piece of evidence included if a faculty member so chooses.

- Student course evaluations (quantitative and qualitative)
- Course Syllabus
- Examples of student work
- Letters and correspondence from former and current students, colleagues, and/or external partners
- Peer, AUH, CFI evaluation of instruction
- Course materials (e.g. presentation material, assignments, rubric, assessments)
- Narrative-what does success look like for you this year in teaching
- Informal student reflections
- Narrative of your strengths in light of COE or EERE or JMU mission and values as the framework—i.e., what is unique about you that fulfills those missions
- Scholarship of Teaching and Learning presentation
- Workshop presentation for local school or organization

Evidence and artifacts that demonstrate scholarly achievement

- Hyperlinks or citations to podcasts, presentations, professional blogs, etc.
- White papers, organization policy statements, letters to the editor
- Citations or hyperlinks to published manuscripts or journal articles
- Citations of conference presentations
- Copies of conference programs with session documents, PowerPoints, etc.
- Examples of editorial work
- Copies of grant proposals and application documents, receipt notification, reviewer feedback, etc.
- Notification of grant funding

Evidence and artifacts that demonstrate professional service

- Copies of meeting agendas, products, letters from committee chairs
- Letters from service organizations that highlight your role
- Programs from conferences, symposia, etc. that demonstrate your role
- Narrative-what did success look like for you in professional service
- Presentation or workshop for local school or organization
- Curriculum materials

EERE Faculty Activity Report and Rubric for Instructors and Lecturers

Teaching and Service artifact examples and evidence at the end of this document.

EERE Faculty Evaluation Rubric is used to evaluate annual faculty activity reports and promotion dossiers. For multi-year reviews (i.e., promotion), the rubric is applied holistically, with the expectation that satisfactory and/or excellent levels of performance are met most/all years under review. The rubric is used to guide conversation around progress for first year faculty mid-year activity reports.

Do not feel you must address every box every year. *Must provide evidence to asterisked criteria.

I. TEACHING AND STUDENT ENGAGEMENT

Returning faculty: Include summer, fall, winter, and spring of the last academic year in the teaching section.

First year faculty: Include only fall, winter, and spring in the teaching section.

A. Teaching

1. List the courses you taught each term. Remove/Add rows as needed.

<u>Summer Courses Taught</u>	Course prefix and #	Course title (w/credit hours)	Modality of course (i.e., lab, lecture, practicum, online)	# of students
Course #1				

<u>Fall Courses Taught</u>	Course prefix and #	Course title (w/credit hours)	Modality of course (i.e., lab, lecture, practicum, online)	# of students
Course #1				
Course #2				
Course #3				

<u>Winter Courses Taught</u>	Course prefix and #	Course title (w/credit hours)	Modality of course (i.e., lab, lecture, practicum, online)	# of students
Course #1				

<u>Spring Courses Taught</u>	Course prefix and #	Course title (w/credit hours)	Modality of course (i.e., lab, lecture, practicum, online)	# of students

Course #1				
Course #2				
Course #3				

Teaching Effectiveness

SATISFACTORY: Provide specific evidence of a representative example of the following criteria. See artifact or evidence examples at the end of the document. For example, you can hyperlink your syllabus and provide a p.#.

Then use the **teaching narrative** to specifically focus on 3 of the following evidence in more depth.

- Clearly communicated policies, practices, and expectations of the course including coverage for instructor absence
- Modeled the use of instructional and/or information technology
- Included content reflecting information, skills and dispositions that is current, relevant, represents multiple perspectives and contexts, and higher levels of learning (Bloom's)
- Gathered formative feedback about own teaching from a variety of sources (e.g., colleagues, CIT, CFI, Assessment, students) using a variety of strategies
- Used a variety of formats and strategies to deliver information to learners
- Maintained fair and impartial grading standards providing timely feedback on progress
- Respected alternative opinions and voices, openness to questions, opportunities to engage with others, acceptance of diverse contexts and productivity, preparedness,
- Activated students' prior knowledge and helped make connections to course content, processes, and outcomes.
- Provided constructive formative feedback to learners throughout the course
- Used a variety of media and strategies to deliver information to learners (e.g., video, simulations)
- Provided authentic opportunities for learners to actively engage with information, process, skills, clients, and stakeholders.

EXCELLENT: Provide specific evidence of a representative example of the following criteria. See artifact examples at the end of the document. For example, you can hyperlink your syllabus and provide a p.#. Then use the teaching narrative to specifically focus on 3 of the following evidence in more depth.

A rating of Excellence includes a representative sample from the **SATISFACTORY** contributions AND at least 1 of the following criteria. Then use the **teaching narrative** to specifically focus on 1 of the following evidence in more depth.

- Employed active teaching/learning processes (i.e., collaborative learning, cooperative learning, team learning, problem- based learning).
- Integrated critical thinking activities into teaching and learning activities.
- Provided learners with the opportunity to create a variety of products using their creativity, scholarship, effective communication skills, and critical thinking.
- Encouraged learners to use a variety of media and strategies to represent their learning of course content, processes and skills
- Integrated independent, small group and large group practice into the course
- Incorporated intercultural and international perspectives and engagement into content and learning experiences
- Integrate practices, pedagogies, curricula, and perspectives that support social justice, anti-racist, culturally responsive/sustaining, and/or anti-bias education.
- Demonstrates examples of community or civic engagement in classroom curriculum and pedagogy
- Demonstrates specific examples of contributing to the mission and vision of JMU, EERE, and/or the COE

Course Refreshing/Re-Design and Course / Program Development / Review

SATISFACTORY: Provide specific evidence of a representative example of the following criteria. See artifact or evidence examples at the end of the document. Then use the teaching narrative to specifically focus on 1 of the following criteria in more depth.

- Stayed current with the subject matter
- Ensured course content is current, accurate, and meets the standards of the professional organization, professional accreditation, and effective higher education teaching
- Regularly reviewed course syllabus, design, content, learning activities, evaluation tools, etc. to ensure they reflect effective practice
- Participates in program activities to assess and update the curriculum
- Regularly met with and collaborated with colleagues teaching other sections of the same course
- Regularly met with and collaborated with colleagues in the program to plan transitions and linkages across program courses/content
- Collaborated with colleagues, stakeholders and/or community members in development,

EXCELLENT: Provide specific evidence of a representative example of the following criteria. For example, you can hyperlink your syllabus and provide a p.#. or provide qualitative feedback. Then use the teaching narrative to specifically focus on 1 of the following evidence in more depth. A rating of Excellence includes a representative sample from the **SATISFACTORY** contributions AND at least 1 of the following criteria. **Then use the teaching narrative to specifically focus on 1 of the following criteria in more depth.**

- Analyzed own teaching via a variety of tools and strategies
- Refined learning objectives based on assessment findings
- Adapted course processes and pace based on feedback (self, learner, other)
- Engaged in review and/or revision of existing courses so that they better represent the state of the profession, program, and education
- Refined curricular content based on assessment findings
- Engaged in designing new courses that fill a gap or need in existing programs
- Guest-lectured in a colleague's course
- Brought guest lecturers into a course
- Co-Taught a course (co-planned, co-delivered, co-assessed, etc.)
- Other

delivery and/or assessment of learning	
Student Support	
SATISFACTORY: Provide specific evidence of a representative example of the following criteria. For example, you can hyperlink your syllabus and provide a p.#. or provide qualitative feedback. Then use the teaching narrative to specifically focus on 1 of the following evidence in more depth.	EXCELLENT: A rating of Excellence includes representative sample from the SATISFACTORY contributions AND at least 1 of the following criteria. Then use the teaching narrative to specifically focus on 1 of the following criteria in more depth.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maintained scheduled office hours including provisions for instructor absence Provided a variety of opportunities for communication with students (online, appointments, emails) Supported students experiencing challenging life contexts 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> When appropriate, wrote letters of reference or recommendation for students seeking scholarships, employment, or further education Talk about ways you have supported students outside of the classroom

II. Professional Service and Qualifications

Service within JMU

Satisfactory Provide specific evidence of a representative example of the following criteria. See artifact or evidence examples at the end of the document. /Then use the teaching narrative to specifically focus on 1 of the following criteria in more depth.	EXCELLENT: Provide specific evidence of a representative example of the following criteria. For example, you can hyperlink your syllabus and provide a p.#. or provide qualitative feedback. Then use the teaching narrative to specifically focus on 1 of the following evidence in more depth. A rating of Excellence includes a representative sample from the SATISFACTORY contributions AND at least 1 of the following criteria. Then use the teaching narrative to specifically focus on 1 of the following criteria in more depth.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Actively attended and engaged in program discussions and meetings Participated in faculty events such as college-wide meetings, graduations, orientations. other 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Served as a member of an active committee for the Program, Department, or College Mentored/Coached students within the Program, Department, or College other

Professional development

SATISFACTORY: Engaged in 1 professional development opportunity in an academic year. Use the Service Narrative to expand on what you did for professional development and how it impacted your teaching or professional growth.	EXCELLENT: Engaged in at least 2 professional development opportunities in an academic year. Use the Service Narrative to expand on what you did for professional development and how it impacted your teaching or professional growth.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Engaged in professional development (on- and off-campus workshops: e.g., CFI, CIT) Sought alternative perspectives and approaches to instruction, content, and processes Engaged in a variety of self-directed professional development through participation in on-and-off campus workshops, study groups, tutorials, reading and reflection of professional publications (within one's own discipline and related disciplines), observations of and/or critical discussions with professional colleagues (with own discipline and other disciplines), stakeholders, and community members, online learning modules. Other 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Engaged in professional development (on- and off-campus workshops: e.g., CFI, CIT) Sought alternative perspectives and approaches to instruction, content, and processes Engaged in a variety of self-directed professional development through participation in on-and-off campus workshops, study groups, tutorials, reading and reflection of professional publications (within one's own discipline and related disciplines), observations of and/or critical discussions with professional colleagues (with own discipline and other disciplines), stakeholders, and community members, online learning modules. Other

Teaching and Service Narratives:

The Critical Reflection in the Teaching and Service Narratives should include thinking about your practice and ideas, stepping back and examining your thinking by asking probing questions. It involves looking at the past, the present, and speculating about the future of your practice, ideas, and evidence. Critical reflection makes connections from experiences to actions, from ideas/positions/viewpoints to actions/products, and lessons learned and actions. Simply put it is the “what”, “so what”, “now what”, “what’s next” of our practice and ideas.

III. Goals

In preparation for your evaluation conference with the Department Head, list your professional goals and expected activities for the next academic year. Be as specific as possible, noting projects and proposals that are currently in various stages of development; describing service activities/responsibilities/commitments you anticipate or are involved in; and describing innovations that you plan to pursue. Identify specific goal(s) from the JMU College of Education's [Disrupting Racism and Injustice in Education 5 Ps Infographic](#) or the longer [JMU COE's Statement of Solidarity, Commitment, or Action](#) to include in your teaching, professional qualifications, and/or professional service goals which demonstrates your commitment to promoting access, equity, and inclusion. If you would like to serve as a mentor or would like to receive formal mentorship, please express this in your goals.

Note: These goals may be adjusted based on your personal reflections, feedback from the Personnel Advisory Committee, and feedback from the Academic Unit Head.

Teaching

Service

Examples of Evidence

Note: student course evaluations are not to be used as primary forms of evaluation; rather, as a possible piece of evidence included if a faculty member so chooses.

- Student course evaluation ratings (quantitative)
- Student comments from course evaluations (qualitative)
- Course Syllabus
- Examples of student work
- Letters and correspondence from former and current students, colleagues, and/or external partners
- Peer AUH CFI evaluation of instruction
- Course materials (e.g. presentation material, assignments, rubric, assessments)
- Copies of professional publications
- Pages from conference programs with session documents, PowerPoints, evaluations, etc.
- Copies of Professional and/or consulting reports
- Copies of meeting agendas, products, letters from committee chairs
- Copies of minutes, agendas, publication materials, etc. for special events
- Copies of conference programs, handouts from sessions attended, travel documentation, etc.
- Copies of grant proposals and application documents, receipt notification, reviewer feedback, etc.
- Current C.V.
- Informal student reflections
- Narrative of your strengths in light of COE or EERE or JMU mission and values as the framework--i.e., what is unique about you that fulfills those missions?
- Narrative-what does success look like for you this year in teaching
- Scholarship of Teaching and Learning presentation
- Workshop presentation for local school or organization

****Performance that does not meet the Satisfactory Anchor status will be ranked Unsatisfactory**